sanguinary, from the time of Muhammad to this noment who is styled by European writers, and by them only, Jingis, Jhingis, Jinghis, Zengis, Zenghiz, Gentchis, Gingis, Ghingis, Ginghis, Tchinggis, and the like—anything but his correct name.

At the same time, due allowance must be made or French and German pronunciation, as for cample:—D'Ohsson writes tch for ch in Chin, and guiz for giz—Tchin-guiz (which is literally chings written in French), but he does not put j for hor s for z, and never writes "Jingis," Though he stumbles on "Mongol." The Germans intogon ne statutes on Mongol. The Germans ordinance ch as tsch and the other letters as in Koolish: they would write Tschin-giz; and the k in Kublae as ck, but they represent the vowels by the same letters as I have already given. consonants of the Mughal dialect, as far as they correspond pretty much with letters of the Persian alphabet. The conqueror's name is written in two ways—; and the consonants in which the four—Ch (the same letter precisely as they write Chin-China-with), n, g, and z-and the vowels two, both short, as in the first word = Chin giz, but, in the latter word given above, the vowel in the last syllable is long \(\bar{i} = Ch\)in \(\bar{g}\)iz. The author of \(\bar{u}\)in \(\bar{f}\) Tabakat i- N\(\bar{a}\)sir i was his contemporary. The word, as all Oriental writers say, signifies The Great Khan or great ruler, and the last word, giz or gīz, signifying great, &c., may be found as the termination of several words in the country of the Turks son of several words in the country of the Turks such as Tin-giz or Tin-giz—the great lake—which the Howorth (p. 33), or his authors, will write with the guttural gh—Tinghiz—which does not belong to it. The ch which occurs in Chin-giz is also in Chin Timur (at page 128). Why not write that Jin Timur as well as Jin-gis?

As there is no -ch—in Arabic, this letter is frown as Ajami j, not that it is to be read as j but as ch, and, in some lexicons containing Arabic, Persian, and Turkish words, all the words beginning with either of these letters are ranged in one section, but it is not that the letters are the same; but when k and g, as we are told, are the same in

Germany, ch may be anything.

For the above reason, in badly or carelessly written MSS., the name will be found written, by written MSS., the name will be found written, by directory of the series, with one dot under, as well as with three, or three jumbled into one, and sometimes without a single point to the whole word; but the practical linguist, knowing "flowers" from thorns, can understand what it stands for, and the tyro when in doubt can refer to a lexicon; but in so lexicon, Persian or Turkish, will any one show me Chingiz's name written and explained with j for the first letter. Further, even were the first better j, how comes it that we have an s, as in Mr. Howorth's Jingis, at the end of the second syllable, for the last is simply English z? By the same theory his name should be Jinkiz or Jingiz. In a mote (p. 709) he has the first syllable given him almost correct, by "Schmidt, Erdmann, &c.," as Ching, but as they are uncertain about it and add

for Jing," he is again left in doubt, as before.

I look for the origin of these errors partly in the old European travellers writing such words as Jingis, Zinghis, Mongol, and Mungl, &c., from recollection or from sound only. They doubtless write down what they thought they heard, but, for the names of persons and places, the ear is not to be wholly trusted. Possibly the natives sound the sh with a slight nasal twang in Mughūl, and hence the error may have arisen. There are scores of names in our beautiful Survey Maps of the Panjāb and Indus frontier, which, if used in asking a fiative the way, according to the mode you would Pronounce the names as written on the map, would affectually prevent you from being understood. That I have myself tested.

Now I have myself tested.

Now I suppose there are few people who have not heard of the "Great Mogul," but not of the "Great Mongol." The sovereigns so styled were Mighals, and the old travellers, hearing the name, fighting, as usual with them as well as with inoderns, detect the guttural gh in the word, and alled them Moguls. I notice moreover that Mr. Howorth himself, at page 34 of his book, quoting

the "great Raschid," from some translation, for a list of the Turks, Tātrārs, and Mughals, mentions the names of "Tatar Khan and Mogol Khan," while in Rashīd-ud-Dīu's work, as I have elsewhere stated, the latter is invariably written Mughūl. Tāttār Khān and Mughūl Khān were the respective progenitors of the two great ī-māks of Tāttār and Mughūl.

Something of a similar kind occurs in two words that we have heard much of lately—of the Sultan's issuing a Hatti Humayoun, or the like, which English people, in their innocence, suppose to signify some peculiar document, a despatch, or an Act of Parliament. The first word is pure Arabic and the second pure Persian, but, as in the case of e-ch, so it is in the case of -kh, and some wise-acres, in former times, finding the first letter of -ch, so it is in the case of \dot{z} --kh, and some wisethe words written without a dot over it (that is the words written without a dot over it (that is Arabic __h), and not knowing the word, and, that the point had been omitted by the scribe, made it Hatt instead of Khatt. There is no such word as Hatt, but Khatt signifies "writing," "handwriting," "an epistle," "a letter," and Humāyūu, "august," "royal," "imperial," &c.—an imperial rescript. Dast-khatt, signifying "signature," "handwriting," is a compound from the same word, but those even who speak of and write "Hatti-Humayoun" do not, I fancy, write of and say Dast-hatt but Dastkhatt. With all this, such is the arbitrary effect of custom, that an editor would hold up his hands in horrorat the bare idea of the term appearing correctly in print, and so the blunder goes on ad infinitum.

Some may say, like Mr. Howorth, about the "pronunciation in Mongolia," that the Turks of Istambul pronounce the guttural kh rather differently from any other people. This may be true in a measure, but they do pronounce it, and not as h, although in Arabic words in which h occurs, as in Ahmad, we constantly find European professors and authors writing it Achmad, Achmet, and Akhmad!—thus, in each case, substituting the wrong for the right letter; and only very recently (in the Geographical Magazine for December, 1874, page 389) I find no less a person than the President the recent St. Petersburg Oriental Congress, M. Grigorief, writing Akhmed for the common, every-day, name of Ahmad, which is derived from the same root as Muhammad, and, I suppose, after the same fashion, he writes Mukhammad for Muhammad. But whatever the pronunciation of words may be, as with English, Scotch, and Irish (or in out-of-the-way parts, as in the extreme west of England, with a twang such as "watter" for water, or "duff" for dough, as I once heard a young Cornish civic official, when reading the lesson in a parish church say), the original orthography is not changed; and, if we want correctness, we must go to the written form of a language, not to the spoken.

H. G. RAVERTY.

Literary Gossip.

MISS HARRIET MARTINEAU'S Autobiography will be published on the 1st of March. It is said it will contain a good deal of piquant matter, which will give rise to controversy.

THE Hon. Dudley Campbell is about to publish a pamphlet giving the results of his recent observations in Turkey. Mr. Campbell accompanied Sir George Campbell during a portion of his tour.

LORD ACTON is the author of the article on 'Wolsey and the Divorce of Henry the Eighth' in the current number of the Quarterly Review.

THE new agent, at the Vatican, of the Record Office, Mr. Bliss, is actively and successfully prosecuting the researches entrusted to him to make. The same facilities are afforded to him as were so generously accorded to his predecessor by the Papal authorities.

MESSES. BELL & Sons have in the press the long-expected volume which contains a brief

autobiography of Barry Cornwall, followed by a biographical notice, and some unpublished poems and personal sketches of his literary contemporaries.

THE inaugural meeting of the Caxton Celebration is fixed for Saturday, the 17th instant, at the Jerusalem Chamber, Westminster Abbey, when the Dean of Westminster will preside; and the invitation tickets, which are necessarily limited, will be issued by the Provisional Committee through the Secretary, Mr. Hodson, 20, High Holborn.

PROF. KENNEDY, of Cambridge, is about to bring out a collection of his fugitive pieces and translations, which will be welcomed by his pupils and admirers in both Universities. It is well known that many of the most finished compositions in the 'Arundines Cami' and the 'Sabrinæ Corolla' are from Dr. Kennedy's pen; but few people know how prolitic that pen has been at all times, and how much scholarly work it has done, of which the newspapers and the booksellers have taken no cognizance. A complete collection of all these brochures is hardly to be expected. As it is, the volume will extend to nearly four hundred pages.

THERE will soon be no such thing as a "Jesuit in disguise." The volume of 'Records of the English Province of the Society of Jesus,' which was printed for private circulation, at the Manresa Press in 1875, is to be thrown upon the market, for any one who likes to buy of Messrs. Burns & Oates. It is to be followed in the course of the summer by a second volume, of some seven hundred pages, full of curious information on the personal history of the English members of the Society, derived from the archives at Rome, Belgium, Spain, and elsewhere, and is likely to prove a very valuable collection in more ways than one. The Jesuits just now seem to be courting publicity, and appear to believe that the more Englishmen know of them the better they will love them. Who knows?

CAPT. BURTON writes: -

"Kindly allow me to express a hope in your valuable columns that some publisher will favour the public with a reprint of 'The Turks, the Greeks, and the Slaves,' the work upon which Mr. Gladstone based his lecture at Hawarden. Since 1872 I vainly advised the late Lady Sebright (Miss G. Muir Mackenzie) to give us a second edition; nor have I been fortunate with Miss A. P. Irby, whose energetic and benevolent labours in Slavonia and at Knin allow her no literary leisure. The book gives a vivid and circumstantial picture of the three races which are now interesting all Europe, and, being written before 1867, it is equally free from the exaggerated Slavophilism and Turcophilism of England in 1877."

M. ROTHSCHILD, the well-known Paris publisher of ouvrages de luxe, has in preparation a second volume, as a supplement to the sumptuous work on Venice which he issued lately. M. Charles Yriarte has been at Venice for the purpose of collecting fresh materials, and much assistance has been obtained from the Library of St. Mark's, the Bibliothèque Nationale, and the library of M. A. Firmin-Didot. The book will contain chapters with the following headings: "Painting," "Typography and Literature," "Costume," "Lace," "Glass," "Mosaics," "The Doge," "Medals," "The City," "Venetian Life." It will contain at least three hundred woodcuts.